UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,535	07/01/2005	Sven Bjorkgard	03438.0110	4462
22852 7590 12/06/2010 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3726		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/516,535	BJORKGARD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rick K. Chang	3726
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply	NIVIO OET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTHYO) OR THIRTY (20) RAYO
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ TI 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of t	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	-
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are wi 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 9-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) □ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Treferences Gred (176-932)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/516,535 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/24/10 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It has been held that the functional "whereby a rod-shaped central ..." statement (claim 9, lines 11-15) and the functional "whereby two lugs ..." statement (claim 10, lines 6-7) do not define any structure and accordingly can not serve to distinguish. *In re Mason, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957)*.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Art Unit: 3726

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 9-14, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyer (US 4,157,819).

Re claim 9: Meyer discloses that a bottom extending in longitudinal and transverse directions; a plurality of lug positioning devices, one at each end of said longitudinal direction of said bottom, each positioning device extending outward from a same side of said bottom and each positioning device including at least one lug opening positioned and sized to receive said lugs of said reaction rod, with an axis of said openings located in said transverse direction of said bottom,

whereby a rod-shaped central portion of a reaction rod may be positioned along said longitudinal length of said bottom, with said lugs of said reaction rod inserted into said openings to thereby establish a desired length between said lugs, and further said jig being sufficiently open to permit said end portions to be fixed to said reaction rod once said lugs are engaged in said jig (Fig. 21).

Re claims 10-11: based on Fig. 21, 184-194, 200-203, 204-206 and 186-190 are protruding members, and one of them can be portions and another can be blocks. It is inherent to put as many of them as one of ordinary skill in the art desires.

Re claims 12-14: Fig. 13 has one size bottom and Fig. 21 has another size bottom.

NOTE: a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention (a reaction rod; a chassis of a vehicle; a wheel axle; a rod-shaped central portion and two end portions; lugs of said reaction rod; the "whereby. . ." statements in claims 9-10) must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/516,535 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 7. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,535 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick K. Chang/

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC

December 6, 2010